
PITTSBURG STEEL COMPANY *v.* BALTIMORE
EQUITABLE SOCIETY.

ERROR TO THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF
MARYLAND.

No. 103. Argued December 18, 19, 1912.—Decided January 6, 1913.

A state statute changing a remedy for enforcing contract rights does not impair the contract if it gives a more efficacious remedy than existed before or does not impair it so materially as to affect the creditor's rights.

Where, as in this case, this court cannot say that the state court was wrong in holding the new remedy under a state statute to be more efficacious than the former remedy for enforcing claims of creditors